



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

The Lyceum of Natural History of New York has lately started forwards with renewed vigor, and now issues its "Proceedings," as well as "Annals." Three signatures of the "Proceedings" (from pages 1 to 44), have been received, and contain abstracts of several interesting papers read at the meetings in April and May last.

Gradually the unpublished results of the labors of Dr. T. W. Harris are being given to the public. Mr. P. R. Uhler, of Baltimore, has ready for publication by the Boston Society of Natural History, descriptions of the Hemiptera of the Harris Entomological collection.

Congress is about to print an entomological report by Townend Glover, the entomologist of the Agricultural Department. It will form an exceedingly useful work, and will deserve the widest circulation.

The well-known Paris dealer in insects, M. Deyrolle, took flight to London with his immense stock of insects, before Paris was actually invested.

Mr. J. A. McNeil, who has made two expeditions to Central America, is now in Philadelphia preparing for a third Archaeological Excursion to Nicaragua.

Prof. O. C. Marsh of Yale College, has just returned, with his party, from the Rocky Mountains. The Expedition started in June last.

All our French exchanges, months ago, were suspended.



ANSWERS TO CORRESPONDENTS.

A. D. H., Tuscaloosa, Ala.—The larva taken from oak wood is the Oak-tree Borer (*Xyleutes robiniae*), one of the silk worm family (*Bombycidae*). It often does damage to the red oak, though the moth, a large ash gray species, is comparatively rare.

C. E., Cincinnati.—A light dredge, such as is described on p. 269 and figured on p. 274, Vol. iii. of the Naturalist, will answer your purpose. A stout clothes line will do for a rope; with a five-pound window weight or fishing lead to sink the dredge. In sounding, use a stout fishing line, with a hollowed two-pound lead weight tied to the end, the hollow to be filled with soap. Fathoms can be measured off with strips of red tape tied in the cord. Look out for minute worms and small crustacea, such as the water fleas, and especially the larger shelled forms, such as *Lymnadia*, *Estherea*, etc.

E. S. M., Mitchell, Ind. Your photograph is that of *Dynastes Tityus*, male. A pair would be very desirable for the Museum of the Academy.

H. G., Detroit.—We requested an answer to your question from a physiologist of the highest standing, and have received the following in reply: "The subject is a very important one, as experts are often called upon to decide whether a given blood-stain is or is not human. Many enthusiastic microscopists have full confidence that nothing is easier than to decide the matter by looking through their instruments, until they find themselves cross-questioned by a sharp lawyer."

Human blood is easily distinguished from that of many mammals, birds, reptiles and fishes, by the size and form of the globules; and tests, both chemical and microscopic, have been proposed for distinguishing human blood from that of some of the domesticated animals. In medico-legal cases, such, if good, would be of the utmost importance, but it is generally conceded that none exist which can be admitted as absolute. If an observer had given him blood from man and the dog, without knowing any circumstance which would lead to an opinion as to their origin, there is no valid sign which would justify him in going into court and saying which was and which was not human. The test of odor given off when sulphuric acid is added to the blood, however successful it may have once been in the hands of some expert's, has not, after many years, come into use, and that of the size and appearance of the globules also fails, as the globules of some of the domesticated animals offer the same characteristics as those of man."